

Date: Sun, 22 May 94 20:07:27 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #558
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sun, 22 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 558

Today's Topics:

"for ID" (3 msgs)
Ham Radio few problems! (3 msgs)
HTX-202 problem
IPS Daily Report - 22 May 94
Test Date? When in Western Penn.
Units of Measure (Was: Re: sacred frequencies)
VK2SG RTTY DX Notes, 20 May
WANTED: Address to get info from tapr.org
WANTED: E-mail address for info from amsat.org (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 22 May 1994 18:50:13 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!mailer.acns.fsu.edu!freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!
freenet2.scri.fsu.edu!sjking@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: "for ID"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Derek Wills (007@astro.as.utexas.edu) wrote:

: Anyway, I hear this "for ID" thing at the start and end of monologues
: on HF phone sometimes, which doesn't seem appropriate.

Is that what it means? On repeaters in California and Florida I keep hearing people identify themselves as, for example, KC6WCH/4ID. I kept wondering what that meant.

For fun -- but only once in a while so I don't become TOO annoying --
I will rebell against the "for I.D." and say "for the FCC". hihi
.er.. **** ** **** ** ..er.. haha <whew>

--
Steven J. King KC6WCH/4 EL89tq
SFCC: Stevenson.J.King@santafe.cc.fl.us
Free-Net: SJKing@freenet.fsu.edu
Packet: KC6WCH @ K4DPZ.#GNVFL.FL.USA.NA

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 00:21:13 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!news.media.mit.edu!news.media.mit.edu.!sro@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: "for ID"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>>if you're in a *large* roundtable, and 10 minutes has passed since
>>your last ID, you might insert your callsign "for ID" at a pause.
>>That tells everyone that you're not asking to break rotation, just
>>stay legal.

>
>Are you saying that even if you haven't transmitted a thing for
>10 minutes, you still have to identify?

Gary's pointing out one context in which it could make sense to
say "for ID," but he's not saying that you have to break in to
say your call.

If you're in a big roundtable, or a net, it makes sense to say
your call at the end of each transmission so that you don't have
to worry about what happens in 10 minutes. If, say, the power
goes off, or if you have a heart attack, you can concentrate on
your other problems and not worry about a pink slip.

--Shawn, K3HI, for ID.

Date: 22 May 1994 20:13:02 -0400
From: newstf01.cr1.aol.com!search01.news.aol.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: "for ID"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>>
>Are you saying that even if you haven't transmitted a thing for
>10 minutes, you still have to identify?

<<

You must identify on your last transmission. If you gave your callsign on your last transmission you're ok. If you didn't, then you're still in the QSO, and you must identify every ten minutes.

Jose KD1SB

Date: 22 May 1994 18:55:22 GMT
From: yar.cs.wisc.edu!jhanson@rsch.wisc.edu
Subject: Ham Radio few problems!
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Michael P. Deignan <md@pstc3.pstc.brown.edu> wrote:
>A few weeks ago, a new no-code tech came on to the largest 2 meter
>repeater in the state, and started talking about how much he loved ham
>radio, and now he has his 2 meter radio next to his CB in the truck. He
>asked if anyone on the channel wanted a smokey report.

While perhaps his nomenclature and speech was poor (akin to CB), is this anything truly wrong with letting fellow hams know of an impending radar trap? I have done this (though usually on the Interstate in a QSO with someone I knew was behind me) and don't see a thing wrong with it.

Could this be another one of the (MANY) things Mikey doesn't like?

--

Jason J. Hanson | 22 Langdon Street #220 | (608) 256-1004
Univ. of Wisconsin | Madison, WI 53703-1344 | Ham: N9LEA (Extra)
-- jhanson@yar.cs.wisc.edu =*+++= n9lea@wd9esu.#scwi.wi.usa.noam --

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 00:11:05 GMT
From: netcomsv!netcom.com!rogjd@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Ham Radio few problems!
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Bruce Lifter (bal@ccd.harris.com) wrote:

: Stating that your problems have been with CBers coming into the hobby is
: taking the easy way out. At one time, I would guess that 50% of the adult
: population in the United States owned a CB (my guess only). I think the
: real problem is that we are overloading the front end. The VHF repeaters
: are becoming congested. Have you ever been on a major highway when it has
: become congested? It brings out the worst in people.

: 73, Bruce KR4AQ

: --

: Bruce Lifter

: Harris Corporation

: Controls Division

: -.. . -.- .-. - - -.-

MS: R5-202

email: blifter@ccd.harris.com

de KR4AQ

Let's please get it straight. Amateur radio here in Southern Cal has nothing to do with CBers getting on the bands. Not sure what it is like where you are.

73

--

rogjd@netcom.com

Glendale, CA

AB6WR

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 00:08:57 GMT

From: netcomsv!netcom.com!rogjd@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Ham Radio few problems!

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Michael P. Deignan (md@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu) wrote:

: rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)

: > I have been a radio amateur since I was a teenager, back in '66, and in
: > my opinion amateur radio has never been healthier. The no-code license
: > has proven to be a fabulous vehicle for bringing new people into the
: > hobby.

: I'm glad that amateur radio in your area is healthy. In this area its
: in poor health, slowly approaching what amateur radio has become in
: southern California. Most of the problems have been with CBers coming
: into the hobby and bringing their bad habits with them. 90% of the
: callsigns I hear on local 2 meter repeaters are N1xxx no-code calls.
: The elmers have fled to the safety of private repeaters or other bands.

Here in Southern Cal, amateur radio is in great shape. We have very few lids on 2 meters, and we don't have a lot of cb-type operating. Don't know what it's like where you are, but I'd appreciate it if you didn't defame us southern Cal types. Courtesy and friendliness is what characterizes amateur radio here.

Sorry to hear that things aren't as good where you are as they are here in Southern Cal.

73

--

rogjd@netcom.com
Glendale, CA
AB6WR

Date: 22 May 1994 18:37:45 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!mailer.acns.fsu.edu!freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!
freenet2.scri.fsu.edu!sjking@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: HTX-202 problem
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

James Proctor (proctor@news-reader.nist.gov) wrote:
: I have a problem with my RS HTX-202 which I think is related to the
: power save feature. I set the time-slice for the power saver to 1/4 sec
: and turned on "SAVE". Since then, quite often, the receiver will turn on
: right in the middle of an ongoing qso. This happens even while listening
: to a local repeater which is S9+30 and the squelch is set just above the
: background noise (even an S1 signal will open the squelch). This has also
: happened while I was operating simplex. It appears that the receiver does
: not always "wake up" when a signal is present.

Since I read your message, I tried it out myself. I think I may have the only one that does not have your problem. I tested it by having two radios tuned to the local repeater. The 202 and another w/o power save. I first tried the 1:2 setting. I noticed the very brief delay between the non-power saved HT and the power saved 202. I then tried the 1:4 setting. I noted the 1/4 second delay but not the several seconds' delay you describe. I'll do more testing and see if it happens to me on which power save setting.

--
Steven J. King KC6WCH/4 EL89tq
SFCC: Stevenson.J.King@santafe.cc.fl.us
Free-Net: SJKing@freenet.fsu.edu
Packet: KC6WCH @ K4DPZ.#GNVFL.FL.USA.NA

Date: Sun, 22 May 1994 23:14:20 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!uwm.edu!msuinfo!
harbinger.cc.monash.edu.au!news.cs.su.oz.au!metro!ipso!rwc@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: IPS Daily Report - 22 May 94
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SUBJ: IPS DAILY SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL REPORT
ISSUED AT 22/2330Z MAY 1994 BY IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES
FROM THE REGIONAL WARNING CENTRE (RWC), SYDNEY.
SUMMARY FOR 22 MAY AND FORECAST UP TO 25 MAY

IPS Warning 14 was issued on 21 May and is current for
interval May 24-25.

1A. SOLAR SUMMARY

Activity: very low

Flares: none.

Observed 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 085/027

1B. SOLAR FORECAST

	23 May	24 May	25 May
Activity	Very low	Very low	Very low
Fadeouts	None expected	None expected	None expected

Forecast 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 084/026

1C. SOLAR COMMENT

None.

2A. MAGNETIC SUMMARY

Geomagnetic field at Learmonth: quiet

Estimated Indices : A K		Observed A Index 21 May
Learmonth	07	2222 2222
Fredericksburg	05	05
Planetary	10	07

Observed Kp for 21 May: 2212 2222

2B. MAGNETIC FORECAST

DATE	Ap	CONDITIONS
23 May	10	Quiet to unsettled.
24 May	18	Active.
25 May	18	Active.

2C. MAGNETIC COMMENT

A coronal hole is expected to raise geomagnetic activity to active levels during 24-25th.

3A. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION SUMMARY

LATITUDE BAND

DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
22 May	normal	fair	fair

PCA Event : None.

3B. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION FORECAST

LATITUDE BAND

DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
23 May	normal	normal	fair
24 May	normal	fair	poor
25 May	normal	fair	poor

3C. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION COMMENT

Degraded HF comms expected at mid and high lats May 24-25.

4A. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC SUMMARY

MUFs at Sydney were slightly above predicted monthly values

Observed T index for 22 May: 39

Predicted Monthly T Index for May is 30.

4B. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC FORECAST

DATE	T-index	MUFs
23 May	40	Near predicted monthly values.
24 May	30	Near predicted monthly values.
25 May	30	Near predicted monthly values.

4C. AUSTRALIAN REGION COMMENT

Strong spread F observed during local night, and is again expected during local night tonight. Degraded comms conditions are expected during the interval May 24-25 due to expected coronal hole sourced activity.

--
IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney |IPS Radio and Space Services
email: rwc@ips.oz.au fax: +61 2 4148331 |PO Box 5606
RWC Duty Forecaster tel: +61 2 4148329 |West Chatswood NSW 2057
Recorded Message tel: +61 2 4148330 |AUSTRALIA

Date: 23 May 1994 00:55:16 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!zip.eecs.umich.edu!
yeshua.marcam.com!insosf1.infonet.net!picard!bhackney@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Test Date? When in Western Penn.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anyone have a list of test dates in western pennsylvania?

Thanx
-BH

Date: 22 May 1994 19:55:44 GMT
From: yale.edu!noc.near.net!usenet.elf.com!rpi!psinntp!newsserv.cs.sunysb.edu!
vassili@yale.arpa
Subject: Units of Measure (Was: Re: sacred frequencies)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM wrote:
: When did the electronics pros and academia have the battle over "Hertz" vs
: "Cycles per second"? the late 1960s? (that seems right - i don't have
: access to the IEEE publications of that era right now and it seems like it
: settled out around that time [Siemens for "mho" also has occurred as well..])

Well - you might become a little annoyed by this Hz vs. Cycles
matter... IMHO meters vs. feet topic is much more interesting. I believe
deep in my heart that feet/yard/miles (as well as seconds/minutes/hours)
is a complete braindamage and should be reformed. Anyway - it's very
annoying to answer questions like in the HAM exams - how many
feet is 28.5Mhz etc. I know that wavelength in meters is 300/MHz - and
that's enough...

Well - with the advance of computers humanity will probably never
get rid of some junky stuff... :-(

Vassili,
N2WID.

Date: Mon, 23 May 94 02:11:25 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!caen!malgudi.oar.net!
infonet!n8emr!bulletin@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: VK2SG RTTY DX Notes, 20 May
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

=====

Automatic relayed from packet radio via	
N8EMR's Ham BBS, 614-895-2553	

=====

SB DX @ WW \$RTDX0520

VK2SG RTTY DX Notes, 20 May

VK2SG RTTY DX Notes for week ending 20 May 1994 (BID RTDX0520)

Our information this week came from I5FLN and the IK5PWJ Packet Cluster, N2DBI, W2JGR and the NJ0M node of the Twin-Cities DX Packet Cluster, and W5KSI. Thank you all for your assistance.

Bandpass

Friday 13

0314-14082 VR6ME Pactor
0529-14080 HC6CR
0530-14069 SU1CR Pactor
0539-14083 C91AI
1605-21087 9H1ET
1605-21087 ZP5FGS
1619-21086 J28JJ
1620-21086 D68ZJ
1718-21085 VP8CIL

Saturday 14

0425-14085 AH6HH
0601-14078 VR6ME
1238-14084 VP5JM QSL W3HNG
1559-21083 ZP5XHM
1830-14084 SV2BFN
2002-14086 4N70AL
2141-14085 OM3LA
2147-14090 OK1KQJ
2158-14081 GW5NF
2240-14091 HC6CR

Sunday 15

0038-14087 LA1K
0047-14087 ZP5XHM
0051-14087 CX7BF
0156- 7080 VP5JM
0203-14088 AL7NO
0317- 7088 YW1A
0325-14088 A35RK
0326-14085 V44KAI
0357-14084 AH6HH
0415-14087 C21/ZL1AMO
0438-14084 ZL3GQ

0439-14087 VK2RT
0651-14087 CN8NP
0651-14087 TK5MH
0735-14085 T92X QSL KA9WON
1148-14085 OJ0/OH2BBF
1454-21081 D68ZJ
1701-14087 HP1XVI
2051-14083 SV1ADG
2058-14082 UA6JBQ
2230-14085 V21PA
2254-14085 HK6DOS

Monday 16

0250-14086 A35RK
1715-14083 UT5DX
2042-14089 KG4CB
2107-14090 HC6CR

Tuesday 17

0204-14083 HC6CR QSL P.O.B. 614, Ambato, Ecuador
1134-14087 EA7BHX
1642-14082 VP5JM

Wednesday 18

0015-14085 HC6CR
0055-14083 PZ1BS
0254-14086 A35RK
0313-14085 V44KAI
0551-14084 C91AI
1442-14088 HI8BG
1532-14089 SV1ADG
1709-14087 SV/WY3V
1715-14083 LY2HT
1731-14089 HC6CR

Thursday 19

0135-14087 UN5PR
0317-14083 A35RK
1518-14085 9H1JF
1600-14088 GI0AIQ
1605-14086 SV1ADG
1617-14083 FG5FI
1646-14080 HC6CR
1737-14087 7X2DS
1752-14084 IS0QDV
2028-14086 OY1CT
2051-14080 SV1QN

Notes of Interest.

Correction: QSL manager for TU4EI listed in last week's bulletin should be W3HCW, not W2HCW.

Micronesia, V6. A group from OKDXA and the Pacific are planning for a one week operation, 9 June from Pohnpei. They will have four stations, mostly CW and RTTY on all bands including the WARC bands. QSL OKDXA, P.O. Box 88, Welston, OK 74881 USA.

Bhutan, A5. Word is out that Jim Smith, VK9NS is waiting for the final word from the Ministry of Communications and would be off and running. He might also operate from Bangladesh and Nepal on the way to Bhutan. Please Jim, some RTTY activity.

Crete, SV9. HA6NY plans to be active on RTTY 24-31 May.

Mariana Island, KH0. JA6VTZ and JF6BCC plan to operate 10 thru 160, RTTY included. Callsigns respectively, AH0T and KH2GR/KH0 from 26-30 May.

For next week's Bulletin, send your Bandpass and Notes of Interest to Jules, W2JGR @ W2TKU.#SRQ.FL.USA.NA

Remember, DX Don't Sleep.

GL DE Bob, WB2CJL @ W5KSI.#NOLA.LA.USA.NA

/EX

SP KT7H @ N7DUO.WA.USA.NA

Date: 22 May 1994 19:31:49 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!
haven.umd.edu!umd5.umd.edu!w3eax.umd.edu!pschleck@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: WANTED: Address to get info from tapr.org
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <WY1Z.94May22001727@bach.coe.neu.edu>,
Scott Ehrlich <wy1z@bach.coe.neu.edu> wrote:
>I am looking for the e-mail address contact to obtain info from
>TAPR.
>
>I know the domain name is tapr.org, but to whom/what should I send the
>message to? Will info@tapr.org or help@tapr.org work?
>
>Thanks.
>

>Scott
>

I recently added a couple of TAPR Elmers (with information about their "official" customer service and file server addresses) to the Amateur Radio Elmers Resource Directory, which was posted to this newsgroup (among others) on May 1st. If it's already expired at your site, finger pschleck@unomaha.edu or write to elmers-request@unomaha.edu to find out how to obtain the latest copy of the directory.

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

Maintainer, Amateur Radio Elmers Resource Directory

Date: 22 May 1994 20:04:25 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!emory!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!
haven.umd.edu!umd5.umd.edu!w3eax.umd.edu!pschleck@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: WANTED: E-mail address for info from amsat.org
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <WY1Z.94May22001922@bach.coe.neu.edu>,
Scott Ehrlich <wy1z@bach.coe.neu.edu> wrote:
>I am looking for the contact address to obtain info from amsat.org.
>
>I've tried info@amsat.org and help@amsat.org, but both messages bounced.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Scott
>

As the maintainer of the "Elmers list" for this newsgroup (among others), I too would be interested in this information. I know several people who have AMSAT E-mail addresses, one or two being on the Elmers list (such as Bob Carpenter, W3OTC), but don't have any official customer service contacts for membership information, files, or mailing lists.

If you wish to submit information about AMSAT, or find out more about the Elmers list and how to obtain a current copy of it, please finger pschleck@unomaha.edu or send E-mail to elmers-request@unomaha.edu.

On a related subject, something that the moderator of rec.radio.info (Mark Salyzyn, VE6MGS) and I are very interested in is who is relaying the AMSAT-related bulletins like the Orbital Elements and Space News to the

Info-Hams@ucsd.edu gateway. What is currently happening is that their respective maintainers are submitting them to Mark who cross-posts them to rec.radio.amateur.space and rec.radio.info (which causes them to be relayed to the Ham-Space and Radio-Info mailing lists), then they appear on Info-Hams (rec.radio.amateur.misc). E-mail to the maintainers reveals that they are not the ones doing the duplicate posting, a person or persons unknown within AMSAT is.

It's certainly not our place to dictate how bulletins should be posted, or even who should post them, but if they are going to be posted to several newsgroups, a simultaneous cross-post is most desirable (propagating a single article within news that will appear in most modern newsreaders only once, although it will be duplicated on the mailing lists). Also, if there will be multiple submitters to news of bulletins with packet-radio BID's, they should use the Message-ID encapsulation convention like the following:

SB BID\$NNN @ DISTRIBUTION

becomes:

Message-ID: <bid\$nnn.1994@ampr.org>

In this way any one news site will have only one copy of the bulletin, regardless of how many submitters post it.

Mark is perfectly willing to help anyone manage the complexity in properly formatting their bulletins. This could be as simple as mailing it to a different E-mail address (rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca instead of Info-Hams@ucsd.edu).

While rec.radio.amateur.space (and Ham-Space) is really the most appropriate target for these bulletins (based on their charters agreed to by a vote of the readership at the last newsgroup reorganization), in the interest of cooperation, we would be amenable to simultaneously cross-posting the AMSAT-related bulletins to rec.radio.info, r.r.a.space, *and* r.r.a.misc (as it's still only one article being propagated) if there is still a strong desire to relay these bulletins to their "traditional" mailing list (we realize that some of the conventions and procedures for relaying radio bulletins predate the rec.radio.info newsgroup and not everyone is going to adopt our recommended techniques overnight, if at all).

Mark and I would very much like to hear from individuals within AMSAT who are interested in using the net to publicize their organization and distribute their bulletins in the most invisible and bandwidth-efficient ways possible. An excellent forum for discussing some of these issues with Mark, myself, and about a dozen other experienced radio information

maintainers on the Internet is the rec.radio.amateur Working Group mailing list (rra-wg). To subscribe, send E-mail to rra-wg-request@amdahl.com.

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

Maintainer, Amateur Radio Elmers Resource Directory

Date: 22 May 1994 20:23:31 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!
haven.umd.edu!umd5.umd.edu!w3eax.umd.edu!pschleck@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: WANTED: E-mail address for info from amsat.org

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2rods9\$2v2@hecate.umd.edu>,

Paul W Schleck KD3FU <elmers-request@unomaha.edu, rra-wg@amdahl.com> wrote:

[...]

>

>Mark and I would very much like to hear from individuals within AMSAT
>who are interested in using the net to publicize their organization and
>distribute their bulletins in the most invisible and bandwidth-efficient
 ^^

>ways possible. An excellent forum for discussing some of these issues

[...]

Whoops! Freudian slip...

Of course I meant to say "most *visible*" :-)

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 01:22:42 GMT

From: world!drt@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994May20.193708.11982@ccd.harris.com>,
<1994May22.145549.26254@cs.brown.edu>, <2ro9qq\$4d7@spool.cs.wisc.edu>≥]
Subject : Re: Ham Radio few problems!

Jason Hanson (jhanson@yar.cs.wisc.edu) wrote:
: Michael P. Deignan <md@pstc3.pstc.brown.edu> wrote:
: >A few weeks ago, a new no-code tech came on to the largest 2 meter
: >repeater in the state, and started talking about how much he loved ham
: >radio, and now he has his 2 meter radio next to his CB in the truck. He
: >asked if anyone on the channel wanted a smokey report.

: While perhaps his nomenclature and speech was poor (akin to CB), is this
: anything truly wrong with letting fellow hams know of an impending radar trap?
: I have done this (though usually on the Interstate in a QSO with someone I knew
: was behind me) and don't see a thing wrong with it.

Well, how about "obstruction of justice," a full-fledged crime?

-drt

|David R. Tucker KG2S 8P9CL drt@world.std.com|

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #558
